

As an employee of a Video Relay Service (VRS) provider, I have the great fortune of assisting deaf individuals to communicate by videophone in American Sign Language using VRS. I have seen first-hand that this life-altering broadband service is a vital link that connects deaf people to the hearing community.

Ensuring that deaf individuals have access to VRS and encouraging improvements in VRS should be a high priority for you as Chairman and Commissioners of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). The Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requires the FCC to make available to all deaf individuals nationwide "functionally-equivalent" communications.

You will soon determine the future of VRS. When you set the VRS rate, you will determine whether America makes progress toward the statutory goals of functional equivalence, nationwide access and inclusion or force deaf users to revert to TTY communications. And, you will determine whether VRS fulfills its potential to drive broadband adoption by the deaf, even in the face of poverty and isolation.

I was deeply disturbed to see the Commission's recent Public Notice on VRS rates. These proposals would put an end to VRS as we know it. My employer has already informed me that if these proposed rates are adopted, our company would head into bankruptcy. This would be disastrous for deaf VRS users.

The FCC should be increasing the availability and use of VRS, not cutting back. You should adopt a rate that encourages continuing improvements in VRS technology and continues to improve services levels. Recent developments in VRS are a good example of how the service can be improved, such as enhanced 911 services, 10-digit numbering, a larger and better-trained pool of interpreters and better videophones with an array of enhanced features. Monthly payments for broadband are a prohibitive expense for many deaf people, and instead of trying to cut back on VRS, you should be exploring ways to make VRS over broadband more affordable to deaf individuals.

Progress towards functional equivalence will be destroyed if the FCC does not encourage VRS providers to improve VRS and make it more widely available. VRS is a recent and dramatic advancement that benefits those who are deaf, but so much more can be done. It would be tragic if the FCC were to destroy this broadband service that is so vital to the deaf.

Recent reports of fraud in the VRS industry are disturbing to employees who work for a company that has operated within current FCC guidelines and has worked to maintain the integrity of the VRS fund. The FCC must devote more of its time and energy to focusing on the elimination of fraud.

I urge you to establish a fair and predictable rate for VRS that will encourage VRS providers to invest

in improving VRS and reaching more deaf individuals. The law requires it and it is the right thing to do.

The rates for VRS proposed by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in its April 30 Public Notice would be a disaster for VRS because ?

- The rates are so low that it would be the end of VRS as we know it today. No provider would seek to provide VRS at the low rates proposed by the FCC.
- The FCC proposes a low interim VRS rate. A better option would be a multi-year VRS rate, which would allow VRS providers to continue to invest in their offerings for the deaf.
- VRS and the improvements made to it over the years have moved us closer to the goal of ?function equivalence? mandated by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). This rate proposal would destroy that progress and move us further from achieving the goals of the ADA.
- My employer has informed me that this rate proposal would lead our company into bankruptcy, leaving our deaf customers without the vital VRS service they have come to expect.
- This proposal would almost certainly mean that my job and countless others would be in jeopardy in an economy where finding a new job would be extremely difficult, if not impossible.

Aside from the work I do as an interpreter in the VRS field this also hits close to home because my parents, aunt, uncle, and cousin are Deaf. I also have many Deaf friends and I have seen the many trials, frustrations, and discrimination they have faced and continue to face. The advent of VRS technology has been life altering for the Deaf community who can now communicate through a really service using their native language instead of the confusion and much slower pace of TTY and text really services. I do not know of any Deaf person who has this technology available to them that does not appreciate the huge impact it has had in the Deaf community and their ability to communicate using American Sign Language, and to have an interpreter fluent in ASL to provide that service. The

Deaf community will be the ones who suffer the most if this rate change is adopted and VRS companies are unable to provide the services necessary to meet the demand of the tens of thousands of calls that are made. Instead of helping, this rate change will most definitely set the VRS industry back for years and will ultimately be more costly to repair in the future not just in money, but in its oppressiveness to the Deaf community.

Sincerely,

Gregg Scott